# Flexible Modeling of Transition Processes via Bayesian Spline Rate Models with Application to Estimating and Projecting Modern Contraceptive Prevalence

Herb Susmann Leontine Alkema

University of Massachusetts Amherst

July 2022

#### UMassAmherst

- Increasing interest in estimates and projections of demographic and health indicators.
- Some indicators have been observed to evolve similarly across populations.
  - They tend to follow a *transition* between stable states.
- Classic example: demographic transition.
  - Transition from high total fertility rate and high under-5 mortality to low fertility, low mortality.
- Existing statistical models for estimating and projecting trends in these indicators draw on these patterns.
- **This presentation:** We propose a new type of model, called *B-spline Transition Models*, for flexibly estimating indicators that follow transitions.

- Modern Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (mCPR) for married or in-union women: proportion of married or in-union women of reproductive age using (or with partner using) a modern contraceptive method.
- Transition: low to high mCPR.
- Existing model: Family Planning Estimation Model (FPEM, Cahill et al. 2018).
- Goal: estimate and project mCPR in countries from 1970-2030.
- Dataset aggregated by United Nations Population Division (UNPD) from surveys conducted by governments or international organizations.

#### Raw Data



# Example Fits



- Let  $\eta_{c,t}$  be the true value of the indicator in country c at time t (c = 1, ..., C, t = 1, ..., T).
- Observed data  $y_i$ , i = 1, ..., n with associated properties c[i], t[i], ...
- Process model describes evolution of  $\eta_{c,t}$ .
- Data model describes relationship between  $y_i$  and  $\eta_{c[i],t[i]}$ .

## Modeling Framework



#### Transition Models

- **Our contribution:** a model class for indicators that follow a transition.
- Transition Models have a process model given by

$$g_1(\eta_{c,t}) = \underbrace{g_3(t, \eta_{c,s\neq t}, \alpha_c)}_{\text{systematic}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_{c,t}}_{\text{smoothing}}.$$

• The systematic component has the following form:

$$g_{3}(t,\eta_{c,s\neq t},\alpha_{c}) = \begin{cases} \Omega_{c}, & t = t_{c}^{*}, \\ g_{1}(\eta_{c,t-1}) + f(\eta_{c,t-1},P_{c},\beta_{c}), & t > t_{c}^{*}, \\ g_{1}(\eta_{c,t+1}) - f(\eta_{c,t+1},P_{c},\beta_{c}), & t < t_{c}^{*}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_{c} = \{\Omega_{c}, P_{c}, \beta_{c}\}.$ 

• The function *f* is called the *transition function*.

• Define a transition function  $f_b$  as:

$$f_b(\eta_{c,t}, P_c, \beta_c) = \sum_{j=1}^J \underbrace{h_j(\beta_{c,j})}_{\text{coefficient}} \cdot \underbrace{B_j(\eta_{c,t}/P_c)}_{\text{basis function}},$$

where  $P_c$  is an asymptote parameter.

• Flexibility of *f<sub>b</sub>* can be tuned through the spline degree and number and positioning of knots.

#### Example B-spline Transition Function



Recall the process model has two components:

$$g_1(\eta_{c,t}) = \underbrace{g_3(t, \eta_{c,s \neq t}, \alpha_c)}_{\text{systematic}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_{c,t}}_{\text{smoothing}} .$$

• Smoothing component: AR(1) process of the form

$$\epsilon_{c,t}|\epsilon_{c,t-1}, \tau, \rho \sim N(\rho * \epsilon_{c,t-1}, \tau^2)$$

# Smoothing component



- Let  $y_i$ , i = 1, ..., n be the observed mCPR for country c[i] and year y[i] from data source d[i].
- For each observation we have an estimate  $s_i^2$  of the sampling error.
- We also expect each data source to have additional non-sampling error  $\sigma^2_{d[i]}$ .
- Truncated normal data model:

$$y_i | \eta_{c[i],t[i]}, \sigma_{d[i]}^2 \sim N_{(0,1)} \left( \eta_{c[i],t[i]}, s_i^2 + \sigma_{d[i]}^2 \right).$$

# **Illustrative Fits**



#### Kenya Raw Data



## Kenya Transition Function



6 / 22

# Kenya Smoothing Component



## Kenya mCPR Estimates



# Trends can be seen in regional and subregional transition functions



- Subclass of *Transition Models* for indicators that follow transitions.
- B-spline Transition Model: flexible modelling approach based on B-splines.
- Generated estimations and projections of mCPR in countries from 1970-2030.
- Found systematically different transitions in countries across regions.
- Flexible model framework that can be easily extended to new settings and use cases.

#### Validation exercise: hold out all observations after a cutoff year L = 2010.

|                                   | 95% UI  |                    |         |                       | Error                  |          |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|
|                                   | % Below | % Included         | % Above | Cl Width $\times 100$ | ${\sf ME}$ $	imes$ 100 | MAE ×100 |
| Model Check 2 (L = 2010), n = 133 |         |                    |         |                       |                        |          |
| B-spline ( $d = 2, K = 5$ )       | 3.76%   | <mark>94.7%</mark> | 1.5%    | 32.0                  | -1.670                 | 4.64     |
| B-spline ( $d = 2, K = 7$ )       | 6.02%   | 91.7%              | 2.26%   | 31.5                  | -1.260                 | 4.68     |
| B-spline ( $d = 3, K = 5$ )       | 3.76%   | 94.7%              | 1.5%    | 32.4                  | -1.630                 | 4.48     |
| B-spline ( $d = 3, K = 7$ )       | 3.76%   | 94%                | 2.26%   | 31.6                  | -0.965                 | 4.57     |

95% UI: 95% uncertainty interval. ME: median error. MAE: median absolute error. Measures calculated using the last held-out observation within each area.

### Sharing information on shape of transition function

